# Senate



General Assembly

File No. 522

February Session, 2008

Senate Bill No. 694

Senate, April 7, 2008

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

Committee on Judiciary reported through SEN. MCDONALD of the 27th Dist., Chairperson of the Committee on the part of the Senate, that the bill ought to pass.

### AN ACT CONCERNING ERASURE OF CRIMINAL RECORDS.

Be it enacted by the Senate and House of Representatives in General Assembly convened:

- 1 Section 1. Section 54-142a of the general statutes is repealed and the 2 following is substituted in lieu thereof (*Effective October 1, 2008*):
  - (a) Whenever in any criminal case, on or after October 1, 1969, the accused, by a final judgment, is found not guilty of the charge or the charge is dismissed, all police and court records and records of any state's attorney pertaining to such charge shall be erased upon the expiration of the time to file a writ of error or take an appeal, if an appeal is not taken, or upon final determination of the appeal sustaining a finding of not guilty or a dismissal, if an appeal is taken.
- 10 Nothing in this subsection shall require the erasure of any record
- 11 pertaining to a charge for which the defendant was found not guilty by
- 12 reason of mental disease or defect or guilty but not criminally
- 13 responsible by reason of mental disease or defect.
- 14 (b) Whenever in any criminal case prior to October 1, 1969, the

SB694 / File No. 522 1

accused, by a final judgment, was found not guilty of the charge or the charge was dismissed, all police and court records and records of the state's or prosecuting attorney or the prosecuting grand juror pertaining to such charge shall be erased by operation of law and the clerk or any person charged with the retention and control of such records shall not disclose to anyone their existence or any information pertaining to any charge so erased; provided nothing in this subsection shall prohibit the arrested person or any one of his heirs from filing a petition for erasure with the court granting such not guilty judgment or dismissal, or, where the matter had been before a municipal court, a trial justice, the Circuit Court or the Court of Common Pleas with the records center of the Judicial Department and thereupon all police and court records and records of the state's attorney, prosecuting attorney or prosecuting grand juror pertaining to such charge shall be erased. Nothing in this subsection shall require the erasure of any record pertaining to a charge for which the defendant was found not guilty by reason of mental disease or defect.

(c) (1) Whenever any charge in a criminal case has been nolled in the Superior Court, or in the Court of Common Pleas, if at least thirteen months have elapsed since such nolle, all police and court records and records of the state's or prosecuting attorney or the prosecuting grand juror pertaining to such charge shall be erased, [. However,] except that in cases of nolles entered in the Superior Court, Court of Common Pleas, Circuit Court, municipal court or by a justice of the peace prior to April 1, 1972, such records shall be deemed erased by operation of law and the clerk or the person charged with the retention and control of such records shall not disclose to anyone their existence or any information pertaining to any charge so erased, provided nothing in this subsection shall prohibit the arrested person or any one of his heirs from filing a petition to the court or to the records center of the Judicial Department, as the case may be, to have such records erased, in which case such records shall be erased.

(2) Whenever any charge in a criminal case has been continued at the request of the prosecuting attorney, and a period of thirteen

SB694 / File No. 522 2

months has elapsed since the granting of such continuance during which period there has been no prosecution or other disposition of the matter, the charge shall be construed to have been nolled as of the date of termination of such thirteen-month period and such erasure may thereafter be effected or a petition filed therefor, as the case may be, as provided in this subsection for nolled cases.

- (d) (1) Whenever prior to October 1, 1974, any person who has been convicted of an offense in any court of this state has received an absolute pardon for such offense, such person or any one of his heirs may, at any time subsequent to such pardon, file a petition with the superior court at the location in which such conviction was effected, or with the superior court at the location having custody of the records of such conviction or with the records center of the Judicial Department if such conviction was in the Court of Common Pleas, Circuit Court, municipal court or by a trial justice court, for an order of erasure, and the Superior Court or records center of the Judicial Department shall direct all police and court records and records of the state's or prosecuting attorney pertaining to such case to be erased.
- (2) Whenever such absolute pardon was received on or after October 1, 1974, such records shall be erased.
- (e) (1) The clerk of the court or any person charged with retention and control of such records in the records center of the Judicial Department or any law enforcement agency having information contained in such erased records shall not disclose to anyone, except the subject of the record, upon submission pursuant to guidelines prescribed by the Office of the Chief Court Administrator of satisfactory proof of the subject's identity, information pertaining to any charge erased under any provision of this section and such clerk or person charged with the retention and control of such records shall forward a notice of such erasure to any law enforcement agency to which he knows information concerning the arrest has been disseminated and such disseminated information shall be erased from the records of such law enforcement agency. Such clerk or such person,

SB694 / File No. 522

as the case may be, shall provide adequate security measures to safeguard against unauthorized access to or dissemination of such records or upon the request of the accused cause the actual physical destruction of such records, except that such clerk or such person shall not cause the actual physical destruction of such records until three years have elapsed from the date of the final disposition of the criminal case to which such records pertain.

- (2) No fee shall be charged in any court with respect to any petition under this section.
- (3) Any person who shall have been the subject of such an erasure shall be deemed to have never been arrested within the meaning of the general statutes with respect to the proceedings so erased and may so swear under oath.
- (f) Upon motion properly brought, the court or a judge thereof, if such court is not in session, may order disclosure of such records (1) to a defendant in an action for false arrest arising out of the proceedings so erased, or (2) to the prosecuting attorney and defense counsel in connection with any perjury charges which the prosecutor alleges may have arisen from the testimony elicited during the trial. Such disclosure of such records is subject also to any records destruction program pursuant to which the records may have been destroyed. The jury charge in connection with erased offenses may be ordered by the judge for use by the judiciary, provided the names of the accused and the witnesses are omitted therefrom.
- (g) The provisions of this section shall not apply to any police or court records or the records of any state's attorney or prosecuting attorney with respect to any information or indictment containing more than one count [(1)] while the criminal case is pending. [, or (2) when the criminal case is disposed unless and until all counts are entitled to erasure in accordance with the provisions of this section.] Nothing in this section shall require the erasure of any information contained in the registry of protective orders established pursuant to section 51-5c.

SB694 / File No. 522 4

(h) For the purposes of this section, "court records" shall not include a record or transcript of the proceedings made or prepared by an official court reporter, assistant court reporter or monitor.

This act shall take effect as follows and shall amend the following						
sections:						
Section 1	October 1, 2008	54-142a				

JUD Joint Favorable

115116

117

The following fiscal impact statement and bill analysis are prepared for the benefit of members of the General Assembly, solely for the purpose of information, summarization, and explanation, and do not represent the intent of the General Assembly or either chamber thereof for any purpose:

### **OFA Fiscal Note**

# State Impact:

Agency Affected	Fund-Effect	FY 09 \$	FY 10 \$
Various State Agencies	GF - Cost	Greater than	Significant
		500,000	-

Note: GF=General Fund

## Municipal Impact:

Municipalities	Effect	FY 09 \$	FY 10 \$
Various Municipal Police	STATE MANDATE -	Potential	Potential
Departments	Cost		

### Explanation

The bill expands criminal records that must be erased. Specifically, it requires erasure of individual charges that have been dropped (nolled) but appear on a multi-count charging document along with one or more charges that resulted in conviction. It is estimated that tens of thousands of digital and paper records would need to be erased each year under the bill.

The state would incur a significant, one-time cost estimated to be greater than \$500,000 to modify certain information technology systems (e.g., Offender-Based Tracking System; Criminal Motor Vehicle System) to accommodate the bill's change. Additional staffing would be needed to erase the paper records of the Judicial Department and Division of Criminal Justice. The associated cost for salaries, expenses, and fringe benefits would be significant. These personnel costs would be greater in the near term if the bill's provisions apply retroactively to dockets initiated prior to the effective date of 10/1/08.

The Department of Public Safety (DPS) Division of State Police would be able to accommodate the in-house reprogramming of the

SB694 / File No. 522

DPS records retention program with existing staff at no additional cost.

A potential cost exists to various municipal police departments that may require additional Records Office staff assistance in order to comply with the bill.

# The Out Years

The annualized ongoing fiscal impact identified above would continue into the future subject to inflation.

# OLR Bill Analysis SB 694

### AN ACT CONCERNING ERASURE OF CRIMINAL RECORDS.

### **SUMMARY:**

By law, courts, police, and prosecutors must erase the records of any criminal defendant whenever (1) he or she, by a final judgment, is found not guilty of the charge or the charge is dismissed; (2) any charge in his or her criminal case has been nolled and at least 13 months have elapsed since the nolle; or (3) he or she received an absolute pardon. However, the requirement does not apply to cases where defendants have multiple charges (counts) in a single information or indictment (charging document).

This bill eliminates the multi-count charging document exception. This means, for example, if a defendant is charged in a single charging document with three different crimes and is convicted of one but the other two are nolled, courts, police, and prosecutors must erase the two nolled charges.

EFFECTIVE DATE: October 1, 2008

#### BACKGROUND

### Nolle

A nolle is a formal statement by the prosecuting attorney that he or she will not prosecute a case further.

### **COMMITTEE ACTION**

Judiciary Committee

Joint Favorable Yea 35 Nay 8 (03/24/2008)

SB694 / File No. 522 8